



No. IITH/40/A/2024/RTI/MS

Dated:24.01.2024

To,

Sub: Disposal of appeal filed under RTI Act, 2005.

Ref: 1.Your RTI application with Reg.No. ITHY/R/E/23/00103, dated:14.10.2023.

2.Your appeal No. IITHY/A/E/23/00010, Dt.26.12.2023

Dear Sir/ Madam,

I have gone through your appeal with registration No. IITHY/A/E/23/00010, Dt. 26.12.2023 and the reply furnished by the CPIO with reference to your RTI application cited above. Having examined the matter in detail, I sum up my observations as follows.

4	Information Sought	Information given and details of documents, if any, furnished.
	<p>I am very disappointed with the Stage 3 Elocution Competition as I found the thoughts shared by our winner on the theme of Reforms for Multilateral Institutions at IIT Hyderabad is not sufficient enough as per my opinions.</p> <p>I would like to request our host IIT Hyderabad to provide an Elocution Evaluation Sheet for all participants under theme of Reforms for Multilateral Institutions and Zoom Private Room Elocution Recording of Anaya Degloorkar ICFAI Business School, Hyderabad, Telangana.</p> <p>Since, We were unable to listen to each other participants in elocution round what we did in Online Stage 3, but during the Winning Ceremony on 13 Oct 2023 I found it would be more fair competition if Jury Evaluation and Recording made it accessible to me/us so that I could review my performance.</p> <p>I am not imposing any allegations on the jury but it is our right to know the marking scheme of elocution based on our performances.</p> <p>I am requesting above mentioned data under Right to Information Act 2005 and Disclosure for fair and transparent result</p>	<p>Please find the copy of Elocution Evaluation Sheet for the theme "Reforms for Multilateral Institutions" as Annexure-I</p> <p>Zoom meeting was not recorded.</p>

Grounds of the appeal:

Refused access to Information Requested

Appeal Text:

There is breach of fair competition and lack of transparency which cannot be tolerated in the National Level Event and there must be penal action against discrepancies and set accountabilities for non-recording of performances.

Also, I am informing the Model G20 Host to take my concern seriously and they should answer why the accused participant got 9 out of 10 whereas, she was unable to speak 2 minutes in front of guests during the Winning Ceremony. Denial of sharing Zoom Recording by Model G20 Host & Jury is totally illegal and, under Conflict of Interest, mismanaged SOPs. Why do they conduct the Event in a Private Room?

I have attached link https://youtu.be/QnEVE5LTML4?si=jqh_F_KsWI5FW6Nb&t=1040 YouTube starting from 1720 min to 1920 min It's not a Civil Services Personality Test, it's a Completely Elocution/Speech Round in which transparency and performance must be available in the public Domain.

It's also a biased coincidence that none of any participants won the Event who argued before Model G20 Stage 3 Coordinator against Immediate Postponement and mismanagement during rescheduling Stage 3, which some students raised about 1-week prior notice if the deadline for G20 Document Submission was Aug 20.

Disposal of Appeal:

Available information on the Elocution Evaluation Sheet provided by the jury/expert members was already provided to the applicant. Information which does not exist cannot be provided. Reply provided by CPIO stands good.

2. Appeal against the decision of the Appellate Authority lies with the Central Information Commission, Room No.326, 'C' Wing, II Floor, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, NEW DELHI 110 066.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-

(V Venkat Rao)

Registrar & Appellate Authority,

e-mail: registrar@iith.ac.in

040 2301 6055